1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Leslie A. Cohen, Esq. (SBN: 93698) leslie@lesliecohenlaw.com J'aime K. Williams, Esq. (SBN 261148) jaime@lesliecohenlaw.com LESLIE COHEN LAW, PC 506 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 200 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Telephone: (310) 394-5900 Facsimile: (310) 394-9280 Attorneys for Debtor and Plaintiff UNITED STATES BANKE CENTRAL DISTRICT O	F CALIFORNIA
11		Chapter 11
12	In re	Case No. 2:16-bk-19896-BB
13	LITE SOLAR CORP.,	
14	Debtor and Debtor in Possession	Adversary No.: To Be Assigned
15		
16	LITE SOLAR CORP., a California Corporation, Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT: (1) BREACH OF CONTRACT;
17	VS.	(2) TO AVOID FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS;
18		(3) CONVERSION; (4) UNJUST ENRICHMENT;
19	ENERGY WISE LIGHTING INC., an Oregon	(5) MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED:
20	corporation, PETER GREENBERG, an individual, and DOES 1-100	(6) ACCOUNTING
21	Defendants.	
22		
24		
25	TO THE HONORABLE SHERI BLUEBOND, UN	
26	THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUST	,
27	COUNSEL OF RECORD; AND ALL OTHER INT	ERESTED PARTIES:
28		
	-1- COMPLAIN Case 20-03032-tmb Doc 1	T Filed 07/26/18

Plaintiff, Lite Solar Corp. (the "Plaintiff" or "Debtor" or "Lite Solar"), the debtor and debtor-in-possession in the above-referenced chapter 11 bankruptcy case (the "Bankruptcy Case"), complaining of defendants Energy Wise Lightning Inc., an Oregon corporation ("Energy Wise"), Peter Greenberg ("Greenberg") and Does 1-100, to be determined. Energy Wise, Greenberg and Does 1-100 are sometimes referred to collectively as the "Defendants" and individually as "Defendant"), alleges as follows:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. On or about July 27, 2016 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition under Title 11, Chapter 11 of the United States Code (the "Code"), originating this Bankruptcy Case, entitled In re Lite Solar Corp., Case No. 2:16-bk-19896-BB ("Bankruptcy Case").
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157, 1132 and 1334(a) over the subject matter of this proceeding because the claims asserted herein relate to a case pending under the Bankruptcy Code for the Central District of California, Los Angeles Division (the "Bankruptcy Court") and Local Rules and Orders of the United States District Court for the Central District of California governing the reference and conduct of proceedings arising under or related to cases under Title 11 of the United States Code, including General Order No. 266, dated October 9, 1984.
 - 3. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409, venue is proper in the Central District of California, Los Angeles Division, because the Bankruptcy Case is pending in this district and division.
- 5. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 544(a), the Debtor has standing to bring this adversary proceeding.

28

II. PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a California Corporation who, at all times mentioned herein, conducted business in, and/or had its principal place of business in, the Central District of California, and is the debtor-in-possession in the Bankruptcy Case.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that
 Defendant Energy Wise is and at all times was an Oregon corporation doing business in
 the State of Oregon and the State of California.
- 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant Greenberg is and at all times was an Oregon resident, the sole owner of Energy Wise and doing business in the State of Oregon and the State of California.

III. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- The Debtor was formed in 2009. The Debtor's business was the development and installation of photovoltaic solar systems in several states, including the State of Oregon.
- 10. In or about November 2012, Lite Solar, LLC, which merged into the Debtor in 2016, and Energy Wise entered into an agreement pursuant to which Debtor agreed to design, build and install photovoltaic solar systems on carport structures that Debtor also would build based on Debtor's proprietary design ("Agreement"). The principal terms of the Agreement are set forth in a certain Construction Agreement for Labor Service, dated November 20, 2012. The Agreement covered seven projects, whose street addresses the parties listed in the Agreement. The largest was a 200 kW solar project at the Cascade Christian School, 855 Chevy Way, Medford OR 97504 ("Cascade Medford Project"). The parties also agreed that another solar project would be contracted at the Grace Christian School, located at 649 Crater Lake Ave., Medford, OR 97504 ("Grace Medford Project"). Energy Wise agreed to pay for each solar project based on a kilowatts pricing structure that considered the size of the system, type of inverters, and type of panels.

- 11. Each of the solar systems to be built for Energy Wise would be owned by Energy Wise, but would be constructed on property owned by third parties with whom Energy Wise in turn had contracts and the solar systems would provide electricity to those third party owners. As a result, construction did not begin immediately after execution of the Agreement on November 20, 2012.
- 12. In early 2013, Debtor was continuing to prepare the designs for the projects for Energy Wise. However, Debtor is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that by March 2013, Greenberg and Energy Wise began to systematically ignore the obligations under the Agreement and began working directly with Patrick Schellerup ("Schellerup"), Debtor's then Regional Manager, and later Schellerup's company, Kamana O'Kala, LLC ("Kamana"), to use Debtor's proprietary carport designs and have Schellerup and Kamana build the projects for which Energy Wise had contracted for Debtor to build.
- 13. Debtor is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that on March 18, 2013, Greenberg knowingly and intentionally wired \$10,771.20 directly to Schellerup's personal bank account at US Bank, which funds were payment towards the obligations of Energy Wise to Debtor.
- 14. Debtor was unaware of the secret, concealed plan by Greenberg and Energy Wise to divert funds that should have been paid to Debtor, to ignore its obligations to Debtor under the Agreement, and to work with Schellerup and Kamana to the detriment of Debtor.
- 15. By early June 2013, Debtor's design drawings for the Cascade Medford Project and Grace Christian Project were sent to Greenberg to approve.
- 16. Debtor is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that on June 13, 2013, Greenberg and Energy Wise knowingly and intentionally issued a check for a deposit for the Cascade Medford Project in the amount of \$30,000, payable to "Lite Solar" and with the notation "Medford", but Greenberg subsequently cancelled the check and

caused Energy Wise to issue a replacement made payable to Kamana for the same amount.

June 2013 through the end of 2013, Greenberg and Energy Wise acquired solar panels, inverters and materials that Greenberg and Energy Wise knew or should have known were owned by Debtor by paying Schellerup and/or Kamana below market prices to have Schellerup and Kamana deliver Debtor's assets to Greenberg and Energy Wise. For example, in October 2013, Greenberg received an email from Oliver McKinney, an officer of Debtor, regarding Debtor's excess inventory of solar panels. In response, Greenberg contacted Schellerup and arranged to acquire Debtor's solar panels through Schellerup and Kamana by paying them below market prices, all without Debtor's knowledge and without compensation to Debtor.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 18. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference paragraphs 1 through 17, inclusive, of this Complaint, as though set forth fully herein.
- 19. Pursuant to the Agreement, Debtor and Energy Wise entered into an express contract, whereby the Debtor was to provide services and materials in return for payment.
- 20. Energy Wise breached the contract by, among other things, failing to pay Debtor and intentionally concealing that Energy Wise was having Schellerup and Kamana use Debtor's proprietary designs as well as its solar panels, materials and equipment, diverting payments due the Debtor to Schellerup and/or Kamana, and acquiring the Debtor's materials at below market prices in violation of the Agreement.
- 21. Greenberg, as the principal responsible for the acts of Energy Wise, is vicariously liable to the Debtor for the harm caused to the Debtor as the result of Energy Wise's breach of contract and misappropriation of the Debtor's materials.

- 22. In the alternative, Greenberg is liable to Debtor for harm caused to the Debtor as a result of the breach of contract and misappropriation committed by Energy Wise, as an apparent or ostensible agent of Energy Wise.
- 23. Debtor has performed all of its obligations under the Agreement, except for those obligations the performance of which are excused by the breaches by Energy Wise.
- 24. As the legal and proximate cause of breaches by Energy Wise, Debtor has sustained and will continue to sustain substantial economic damages. The precise nature and amount of such accrued and continuing damages will be proven at trial, but Debtor estimates its loss to be in excess of \$500,000.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

AVOIDANCE OF FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS

[11 U.S.C. § 544 and Civil Code §§ 3439.04(a)(2) or 3439.05 and 3439.07]

- 25. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference paragraphs 1 through 24, inclusive, of this Complaint, as though set forth fully herein.
- 26. Defendants Energy Wise and Greenberg wrongfully diverted Debtor's assets by acquiring such assets at substantially below the fair market value, and by failing to compensate the Debtor in any way for the services and materials provided by Debtor.
- 27. Each such acquisition by defendants was made without the Debtor receiving a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for such transfer and: (i) at a time when the Debtor was insolvent or as a result of which the Debtor became insolvent; or (ii) at a time that the Debtor was engaged in a business or a transaction, or was about to engage in a business or a transaction, for which any property remaining with the Debtor was an unreasonably small capital; or (iii) at a time when the Debtor intended to incur, or believed or should reasonably have believed that the Debtor would incur, debts that would be beyond the Debtor's ability to pay as such debts matured.
- 28. Defendants acquired the services and materials without the Debtor's knowledge or authorization. Without limitation, the wrongful diversion of the Debtor's

2 3	29.	keep the Debtor's business running. By virtue of the foregoing, the transfers initiated and caused by Energy Wise		
3		By virtue of the foregoing, the transfers initiated and caused by Energy Wise		
	and Greenb			
4		erg constituted fraudulent transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 544 and Civil		
5	Code §§ 34	Code §§ 3439.04(a)(2) or 3439.05 and 3439.07.		
6		THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
7		RECOVERY OF AVOIDED TRANSFERS		
8		[11 U.S.C. § 550(a)(1) and (2)]		
9	30.	Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference paragraphs 1		
10 1	through 29,	inclusive, of this Complaint, as though set forth fully herein.		
11	31.	By reason of the foregoing, the Debtor is entitled to recover for the benefit of		
12 1	the Estate th	ne transfers of solar panels caused by Defendants pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §		
13	550(a).			
14	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
15	PRESERVATION OF AVOIDED TRANSFERS			
16		[11 U.S.C. § 551]		
17	32.	Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference paragraphs 1		
18 1	through 31,	inclusive, of this Complaint, as though set forth fully herein.		
19	33.	By reason of the foregoing, the avoided transfers caused by Defendant are		
20	preserved fo	or the benefit of the Estate.		
21		FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
22		CONVERSION		
23	34.	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in		
24	paragraphs	1 through 33, above.		
25	35.	By means of the acts alleged above, Defendants Energy Wise and		
26	Greenberg	each acquired money and property which rightfully belonged to the Plaintiff.		
27				
28				
		-7-		
		Case 20-03032-tmb Doc 1 Filed 07/26/18		

- 36. Each Defendant used or converted the Plaintiff's property in a manner inconsistent with the Plaintiff's property rights.
- 37. As a result of the Defendants' wrongful use and conversion of the Plaintiff's property, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial as a result of Defendants' actions in converting and misappropriating the Plaintiffs assets, which Debtor estimates to be not less than \$400,000.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF UNJUST ENRICHMENT

- 38. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 37, above.
- By means of the fraud and other wrongful acts alleged above, Defendants Energy Wise and Greenberg each acquired money and property, with knowledge of said fraudulent and wrongful acts, including specifically, and without limitation, that Defendants wrongfully (a) acquired the solar systems installed by Schellerup and Kamana, including without limitations the solar projects that were built for the Cascade Medford Project and the Grace Medford Project (b) collected money or credits from the Cascade Medford Project, the Grace Medford Project and any other project built using the solar panels, material and equipment of Debtor, whether said payments and credits were provided by the owners of the property on which the projects were built, the utility companies or any other source and (c) received the benefit of the electrical power generated by such solar system, such that each Defendant holds such funds in constructive trust for Plaintiff and/or must make restitution to Plaintiff in a sum sufficient to deprive Defendants of all unjust enrichment derived from such actions, sales and wrongful conduct.
- 40. Plaintiff therefore demands the imposition of a constructive trust on the solar systems and all revenue generated therefrom, restitution and judgment against

Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial, together with interest, attorneys' fees, and the cost of this action.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED

- 41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 40, inclusive as though fully set forth. Defendants are indebted to Plaintiff by virtue of Defendants' possession of funds that should have been paid to Plaintiff in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 42. By virtue of this Complaint, Plaintiff makes demand each Defendant to pay all amounts wrongfully retained by Defendants. No payment has been made by Defendants to Plaintiff, and there is now owing a sum to be proved at trial, with interest on said sum. To the extent said debt arose more than two years prior to the commencement of this action, Defendants fraudulently concealed their conduct and Plaintiff was unable to discover such conduct, as more fully alleged in this Complaint, such that the filing of this claim for relief is timely.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

ACCOUNTING

- 43. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 42, inclusive, as though fully set forth.
- 44. Starting in 2013, Defendants and each of them had and have possession of Plaintiff's solar panel inventory, equipment and materials which defendants have used for Defendants' benefit and to the detriment of Plaintiff.
- 45. Defendants and each of them have realized revenue, income and profits from their wrongful sale, retention and use of Plaintiff's solar panel inventory, equipment and materials, and all of such revenue, income and profits should be disgorged by Defendants and each of them to Plaintiff.

1	assets utilized in the avoided transfers in order than an accounting may be				
2		realized;			
3	9.	On all causes of action, that the Plaintiff be awarded costs and fees			
4		incurred in connection with this action, including attorneys' fees; and			
5	10.	For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.			
6	Dated: July 26, 2018 LESLIE COHEN LAW, PC				
7					
8		By: /s/ Leslie A. Cohen Leslie A. Cohen			
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff			
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHE		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER
(Instructions on Reverse)	(0	Court Use Only)
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDA	NTS
Lite Solar Corp.		ISE LIGHTING INC., an Oregon
		PETER GREENBERG, an individual, and
A PERCODNIC (C) N. A. I.I. A.	DOES 1-100	
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNE	YS (If Known)
Leslie Cohen Law PC		
506 Santa Monica Blvd. Suite 200, Santa Monica, CA 90401		
(310) 394-5900	DA DEVA (CI	1.0. 7. 0.1.
PARTY (Check One Box Only)	·	neck One Box Only)
Debtor U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin	Debtor	U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin
Creditor Other	Creditor Trustee	Other
Trustee		
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIE		·
COMPLAINT: (1)BREACH OF CONTRACT; (2) TO AVO		
UNJUST ENRICHMENT (5)MONEY HAD AND RECEIV	ED; (6)ACCC	DUNTING
NATURE (OF SUIT	
(Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as	1. first alternativ	e cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)
()	,	· ······ , ···· , ···· · ··· · ··· · · · · · · · · · · ·
FRBP 70 01(1) – Recovery of Money/Property	FRBP 70 01(6)	- Dischargeability (continued)
11-Recovery of money/propert y - \$542 turnover of property	61 -Dischar	geability- §523(a)(5), domestic support
12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference	68-Discharg	geability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer		geability - §523(a)(8), student loan
14-Recovery of money/property - other		geability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation an domestic support)
FRBP 70 01 (2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien		
FRBP 70 01 (2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien 6 5 -Dischargeability - other 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property		
	FRBP 70 01(7)	- Injunctive Relief
FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property	71 -Injuncti	ve relief- imposition of stay
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	72-Injunctiv	ve relief - other
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/ Revocation of Discharge	FRRP 70 01(8)	Subordination of Claim or Interest
41-Objection/re vocation of discharge - \$727(c),(d),(e)		nation of claim or interest
EDDD FOOT (F) D (1 C C C C C)	_	
FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation 51-Revocation of confirmation		Declaratory Judgment
31 Revocation of committation	91 -Declara	tory judgment
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability	FRBP 70 01(10) Deter mi nation of Remove d Act ion
6 6 -Dischargeability - \$523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims		ination of removed claim or cause
62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud		
67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny	Other	ase - 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et.seq.</i>
07-Dischargeability - §323(a)(4), fraud as fiductary, embezziement, facetry		g. other actions that would have been brought in state court
(continued next column)		ated to bankruptcy case)
Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law	Check if th	nis is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23
Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$	
Other Relief Sought		עעוו
Converstion, Unjust Enrichment, Money Had and Received, Account	nting	
The same state and the same stat	B	



B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES				
NAME OF DEBTOR Lite Solar Corp.		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 2:16-bk-19896-BB		
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING Central District of California		DIVISION OFFICE Los Angeles	NAME OF JUDGE Bluebond	
RELATED A	DVERSARY	PROCEEDING (IF ANY)		
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.	
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN	NG	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE	
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)				
/s/ Leslie Cohen				
DATE 7/26/18		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE Leslie A. Cohen	Y (OR PLAINTIFF)	

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 1040, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 1040 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.



Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FA Nos., State Bar No. & Email Address Leslie A. Cohen, Esq. (SBN: 93698) leslie@lesliecohenlaw.com J'aime K. Williams, Esq. (SBN 261148) jaime@lesliecohenlaw.com LESLIE COHEN LAW, PC 506 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 200 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Telephone: (310) 394-5900 Facsimile: (310) 394-9280 Attorney for Plaintiff	X FOR COURT USE ONLY
UNITED STA	ATES BANKRUPTCY COURT ALIFORNIA - LOS ANGELES DIVISION
In re: LITE SOLAR CORP.	CASE NO.: 2:16-bk-19896-BB CHAPTER: 11 ADVERSARY NO.:
LITE SOLAR CORP.	tor(s).
	100
Complaint, you must file with the court a written plea your written response on the party shown in the upp	
Hearing Date: Add Time:	ress: 255 East Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 3420 Twelfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501 411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, CA 92701 1415 State Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

You must comply with LBR 7016-1, which requires you to file a joint status report and to appear at a status conference. All parties must read and comply with the rule, even if you are representing yourself. You must cooperate with the other parties in the case and file a joint status report with the court and serve it on the appropriate parties at least 14 days before a status conference. A court-approved joint status report form is available on the court's website (LBR form F 7016-1.STATUS.REPORT) with an attachment for additional parties if necessary (LBR form F 7016-1.STATUS.REPORT.ATTACH). If the other parties do not cooperate in filing a joint status report, you still must file with the court a unilateral status report and the accompanying required declaration instead of a joint status report 7 days before the status conference. The court may fine you or impose other sanctions if you do not file a status report. The court may also fine you or impose other sanctions if you fail to appear at a status conference.

KATHLEEN J. CAMPBELL CLERK OF COURT

ate of Issuance of Summons and Notice of Status Conference in Adversary Proceeding:		
	By: Deputy Clerk	

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

A true and correct copy (1) of the foregoing document entitled: SUMMONS AND NOTICE OF STATUS CONFERENCE IN ADVERSARY PROCEEDING [LBR 7004-1] and (2) the accompanying pleading(s) entitled:
will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:
1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date)
Service information continued on attached page
2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: On (date), I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.
Service information continued on attached page
3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date), I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.
Service information continued on attached page I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.
Date Printed Name Signature

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

December 2016